Experimenting with Multi-Level Models in a Two-Level Modeling Tool

martin gogolla University of Bremen

## Motivation

- talk presents two proposals for handling different metamodel levels in a uniform way
- in technical terms: represent different metamodel levels in ONE model, i.e. one class diagram including OCL constraints
- establish the connection between levels with + associations and generalizations + special OCL(?) operations
- in first approach, instanceOf relationship (usually between metamodel levels) becomes a simple association with precise meaning
- advantage: uniform employment of OCL
  - within each metamodel level,
  - for restricting the connection between the metamodel levels, and
  - for navigation between the metamodel levels

- Our context: USE (Uml-based Specification Environment)
- First approach: Metamodel level connection with associations and generalizations
- Second approach: Metamodel level connection with special OCL(?) operations
- A touch of related work
- Conclusion

- Our context: USE (Uml-based Specification Environment)
- First approach: Metamodel level connection with associations and generalizations
- Second approach: Metamodel level connection with special OCL(?) operations
- A touch of related work
- Conclusion

# **Tool USE (UML-based Specification Environment)**

- google: "use ocl bremen" -> Sourceforge USE project page
- Validation and verification tool for UML and OCL models
- UML class, statechart, object, sequence, and communication diagrams
- OCL support for
  - + class invariants and operation pre- and postconditions
  - + query operations and ad-hoc queries
  - + derivation rules for attributes and associations
  - + state invariants, transition guards and transition postconditions
- Imperative action language for implementing non-query operations on the model level: SOIL (Simple Ocl-based Imperative Language)
- Model validation by executing test scenarios
- Automatic generation of object diagrams through a model validator based on a translation of UML and OCL into relational logic (realized in Kodkod/Alloy) starting from a class diagram and invariants
- Verification of model properties like model consistency, model minimality (invariant independence) or model state reachability



```
Person.allInstances->excluding(r)->forAll(p | p.parent->size=1)) and
p.child->forAll(c1,c2 | -- balance
```

c1.child->closure(child)->size = c2.child->closure(child)->size)

```
Person min = 15; Person max = 15
```

```
Person_fName = Set{'Ada','Bob','Cyd','Dan','Eve'}
Person_lName = Set{'Alewife','Baker','Cook','Digger','Eggler'}
Person_yearB = Set{1905,1920,1935,1950,1965,1980,1995}
```

```
Parenthood min = 0; Parenthood max = *
```





- Our context: USE (Uml-based Specification Environment)
- First approach: Metamodel level connection with associations and generalizations
- Second approach: Metamodel level connection with special OCL(?) operations
- A touch of related work
- Conclusion

Example 1

Ada is a Person, Person is a Class, Class is MetaClass



```
abstract class Thing
operations
instantiatedPlus():Set(Thing)=
   self.instantiated->closure(t|t.instantiated)
   instantiaterPlus():Set(Thing)= ...
```

# constraints

inv acyclicInstantiation: self.instantiatedPlus()->excludes(self)
end

Example 2: Relational data model Metamodel level 1 - Database schemata (Syntax) Metamodel level 0 - Database states (Semantics)



Class invariants	7 X
Invariant	Result
DataType::uniqueDataTypeNames	true
ReIDBSchema::uniqueReIDBSchemaNames	true
ReIDBSchema::uniqueReISchemaNamesWithinReIDBSchema	true
RelSchema::relSchemaKeyNotEmpty	true
RelSchema::uniqueAttrNamesWithinRelSchema	true
Constraints ok. (0ms) 100%	

Class invariants	
Invariant	Result
AttrMap::c_AttrMap_Attr_Tupel_RelSchema	true
AttrMap::c_AttrMap_Attr_Value_DataType	true
AttrMap::c_AttrMap_Tupel_RelDBState	true
AttrMap::tupelAttrMapIsFunction	true
Tupel::c_Tupel_RelSchema_AttrMap_Attr	true
Tupel::c_Tupel_RelSchema_RelDBState_RelDBSchema	true
Tupel::keyMapUnique	true
Value::differentContentOrDataType	true
Constraints ok. (Oms) 100%	









name='Facebook'

name='Person'









# Different metamodel structures



- Our context: USE (Uml-based Specification Environment)
- First approach: Metamodel level connection with associations and generalizations
- Second approach: Metamodel level connection with special OCL(?) operations
- A touch of related work
- Conclusion





🚣 Evaluate OCL expression	X
Enter OCL expression:	
RelSchema.allInstances->iterate(rs;r:String="	
let keyAttr=rs.attr->any(a a.isKey=true).name in	Evaluate
r + if r=" then " else ' and ' endif +	
rs.name +'.allInstances()->forAll(x,y ' +	Browser
'x<>y implies x.' + keyAttr + '<>y.' + keyAttr + ')')	
Result:	<u>C</u> lear
Town.allInstances()->forAll(x,y x<>y implies x.tname<>y.tname) and Country.allInstances()->forAll(x,y x<>y implies x.cname<>y.cname)' : String	Close

🚣 Evaluate OCL expression	×
Enter OCL expression:	<u>E</u> valuate
Town.allInstances()->forAll(x,y x<>y implies x.tname<>y.tname) and Country.allInstances()->forAll(x,y x<>y implies x.cname<>y.cname)	
Result:	Browser
false : Boolean	<u>C</u> lear
,	<u></u>

\_ 🗆 🗙

# 🕌 Evaluation browser

(Town.allInstances()->forAll(x:Town, y:Town   ((x <> y) implies (x.tname <> y.tname)) ) and Country.allInstances()->forAll( x:Country, y:Country   ((x <> y	()
implies (x.cname <> y.cname)) ))	

	(Town.allInstances()->forAll(x:Town, y:Town   ((x <> y) implies (x.tname <> y.tname)) ) and Country.allInstances()->forAll(x:Country, y:Country   ((x <> y) implies (x.cname <> y.cname)) )) = false
Ċ	Town.allInstances()->forAll(x:Town, y:Town   ((x ↔ y) implies (x.tname ↔ y.tname)) ) = false Town.allInstances() = Set{ParisC,ParisU} x = @ParisC, y = @ParisC x = @ParisC, y = @ParisU (x ↔ y) implies (x.tname ↔ y.tname)) = false (x ↔ y) = true (x.tname ↔ y.tname) = false x.tname = 'Paris' y.tname = 'Paris' y.tname = 'Paris'
	Display options  Close

```
parameter[rs:RelSchema]
let relSchemaClass = $rs.name$ in
let keyAttr = $rs.attr->any(a|a.isKey=true).name$ in
context relSchemaClass inv keyAttrUnique:
   relSchemaClass.allInstances->forAll(x,y |
        x<>y implies x.keyAttr<>y.keyAttr)
```

context Town inv keyAttrUnique: Town.allInstances->forAll(x,y | x<>y implies x.name<>y.name)

new OCL features:

- OCL clauses with parameters that are variables for model elements
- special expressions for model elements (e.g., for class or attribute)
- an operation accessing a model element through its String-valued name
   \$ \$ : String -> ModelElement
- an operation returning the String-valued name of a model element # # : ModelElement -> String

```
parameter[rs:RelSchema]
let relSchemaClass = $rs.name$ in
let keyAttr = $rs.attr->any(a|a.isKey=true).name$ in
context x,y:relSchemaClass inv 'keyAttrUniqueIn' + #rs#:
    x<>y implies x.keyAttr<>y.keyAttr
```

context x,y:Town inv keyAttrUniqueInTown: x<>y implies x.name<>y.name



- Our context: USE (Uml-based Specification Environment)
- First approach: Metamodel level connection with associations and generalizations
- Second approach: Metamodel level connection with special OCL(?) operations
- A touch of related work
- Conclusion

- A touch of related work
- Guerra / de Lara (MULTI WS 2014)

Towards Automating the Analysis of Integrity Constraints in Multi-Level Models

- Igamberdiev / Grossmann / Stumptner (MULTI WS 2014)

An Implementation of Multi-Level Modelling in F-logic

- Clark / Gonzalez-Perez / Henderson-Sellers (MULTI WS 2014)

A Foundation for Multi-Level Modelling

- Atkinson / Gerbig / Kühne (OCL WS 2015)

Opportunities and Challenges for Deep Constraint Languages

- Atkinson / Gerbig / Kühne (MODELS 2015)

A Unifying Approach to Connections for Multi-Level Modeling Foundations

... [my apologies to the many good works that i did not mention]

- Our context: USE (Uml-based Specification Environment)
- First approach: Metamodel level connection with associations and generalizations
- Second approach: Metamodel level connection with special OCL(?) operations
- A touch of related work
- Conclusion

## Summary

- presented approaches for incorporating different metamodel levels into a single model
- employed
  - + associations, generalizations and OCL for restricting the connection between metamodel levels
  - + special OCL(?) operations

Future work

. . .

- discover connections to and formalize notions like clabject, potency, powertype
- build more case studies in order to obtain more insights into advantages and drawbacks
- extend our tool USE to cope with (at least) three modeling levels
  - class diagram
  - object diagram = class diagram
    - object diagram

Thanks for your attention!

```
context t1,t2:Tupel inv keyMapUnique:
t1<>t2 and t1.relSchema=t2.relSchema
implies
t1.relDBState->intersection(t2.relDBState)->forAll(s |
t1.relSchema.key()->exists(ka |
t1.applyAttr(s,ka)<>t2.applyAttr(s,ka)))
```